

An Elsevier Indexed Journal

ISSN-2230-7346



Journal of Global Trends in Pharmaceutical Sciences

ANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION FOR SIMULTA-NEOUS DETERMINATION OF LEDIPASVIR AND SOFOSBUVIR IN TABLET DOSAGE FORM BY RP-HPLC

K. Yogendrachari*, M. Madhu, E. Gireesh Kumar, M.Vasantha kumari, M. Chanti Naik

Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis & Quality Assurance,

Annamacharya College of Pharmacy, New Boyanpalli, Rajampet-516115, Kadapa(Dt), A.P.

E-mail: yogendrachari@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Key words:

Ledipasvir Sofosbuvir, RP-HPLC, Gradient elution, Validation, ICH guidelines



A new Reverse Phase High Performance Liquid chromatographic method was developed for the quantification of Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir. The chromatographic separation was achieved on a Waters 2695, Inertsil -ODS C₁₈ (250 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ) column within a runtime of 10 min under gradient elution Acetonitrile and methanol at a flow rate of 1.0ml/min. A photodiode array (PDA) detector set at 254nm was used for detection. The method was validated according to the ICH guidelines with respect to specificity, precision, accuracy and linearity. The proposed method was found to be reproducible and convenient for quantitative analysis of Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir, in bulk and tablet dosage form.

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION

Pharmaceutical Analysis plays a vital role in quality assurance and quality control of bulk drugs and their formulations. Pharmaceutical analysis is a particular branch of analytical chemistry, which includes isolating, identifying and determining the relative amounts of compounds in a sample matter¹. It is concerned with chemical characterization of matter both quantitative and qualitative. In recent years many analytical techniques have been developed.^{2,3} Pharmaceutical analysis derives its principles from different branches of science like Chemistry, Physics, Microbiology, Nuclear Science, Electronics etc. analytical method is a particular utilization of a procedure to solve a problem. Analytical instrumentation assumes an imperative part in the production and evaluation of new products and protection of

Consumers and the environment⁴. This instrumentation provides the lower detection limits required to assure safe foods, medications, water and air⁵. Methods are developed for new products when no official methods are available. Alternate methods for existing (non-Pharmacopoeial) products are developed to reduce the cost and time for better precision and ruggedness^{6,7}. Trial runs are conducted, method is optimized and validated. When alternate method proposed is intended to replace the existing procedure comparative laboratory data including merit / demerits are made available⁸. Validation of an analytical method is the process by which it is established, by laboratory studies, that the performance characteristics of the method meet the requirements for the intended analytical applications.⁹

There are two important reasons for validating assays in the pharmaceutical industry. The first, and by for the most important, is that assay validation is an integral part of the quality-control system. The second is that current good manufacturing practice regulation requires assay validation¹⁰.



Ledipasvir structure



Sofasbuvir structure

Materials and Methods:

All chemicals and reagents used were of high quality, purity procured from various sources, Acetonitrile, Methanol Merck (HPLC-Grade), Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir Reputed pharmaceutical company, Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir tablets containing 90/400mg, are Purchased from local market Waters -2690/5, HPLC series with PDA, Inertsil -C18, BDS column, Detector wavelength 254nm, Colum Température is ambiant The Optimized chromatographic conditions are listed in Table No 1 Preparation of Ledipasvir Standard Solution:

Weigh down 10mg of Ledipasvir is dissolved in 10ml of Mobile phase taken in to 10ml of volumetric flask and sonicated for 20 minutes to get 1000ppm and 1 ml was taken from the solution into a 10ml volumetric flask and diluted to 10 ml with mobile phase.

Preparation of Sofosbuvir Standard Solution:

Weigh down 10mg's of Sofosbuvir and dissolved in 10ml of Mobile phase taken in to 10ml of volumetric flask and sonicated for 20 minutes to get 1000ppm and 1 ml was taken from the solution into a 10ml volumetric flask and diluted to 10 ml with mobile phase.

Validation of the Method

The method was validated in terms of system precision, linearity, precision, and specificity of the sample applications. The linearity of the method was investigated with correlation coefficient of Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir was found to be 0.999 Precision was found to be lower than 1%. Ruggedness of the proposed method was determined by analysis of aliquots from homogenous slot by different analysts using similar operational and environmental conditions, Placebo interference Sample was prepared by taking the placebo equivalent to about the weight in portion of test preparation as per the test method and blank interference mobile phase was prepared and injected and into the HPLC system, are in Fig No: 1-3 Accuracy

Accuracy of the method was expressed in terms of recovery of added compound at 50%, 100% and 150% level of sample. Mean % recovery and % RSD were calculated and were summarized in Table 2-3. The result shown that best recoveries (99.77 \pm 0.04) of the spiked drug were obtained at each added concentration, indicating that the method was accurate.

Repeatability

The % Relative standard deviations of Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir for Repeatability was found to be 0.143and 0.240.Hence the %RSD values indicate a good degree of precision within the specified range. The results are tabulated in Table No 4 **Method precision**

Precision of the assay method was determined by injecting, six (6) individual samples, in duplicate, of Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir. The results are tabulated in Table No 5.



Fig No.3: chromatogram of Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir



Fig No.4: Ledipasvir Calibration Curve



Fig No.5: Sofosbuvir Calibration Curve Table No.1: Optimization method conditions

| Parameters | Method |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Stationary phase (column) | Inertsil -ODS C18(250 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ) |
| Mobile Phase | Acetonitrile : Methanol (60:40) |
| Flow rate (ml/min) | 1.0 ml/min |
| Run time (minutes) | 10 min |
| Column temperature (°C) | Ambient |
| Volume of injection loop (µl) | 20 |
| Detection wavelength (nm) | 254nm |
| Drug RT (min) | 2.8 min for Ld and 3.9 min for Sb. |

 TABLE No.2: Accuracy Data for Ledipasvir

| Concentration | Amount added | Peak ar- ea | Amount found | % Recovery | Statistica of % R | l Analysis ecovery |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| % of spiked level | (ppm) | | (ppm) | | Mean | %RSD |
| 50% Injection 1 | 20 | 570656 | 19.95 | 99.75 | | |
| 50% Injection 2 | 20 | 568084 | 19.86 | 99.3 | 99.81 | 0.55 |
| 50% Injection 3 | 20 | 2861594 | 20.08 | 100.4 | | |
| 100 % Injection 1 | 40 | 1243701 | 40.14 | 100.35 | | |
| 100 % Injection 2 | 40 | 1238121 | 39.96 | 99.9 | 99.91 | 0.42 |
| 100% Injection 3 | 40 | 1233165 | 39.80 | 99.5 | | |
| 150% Injection 1 | 60 | 1866096 | 59.89 | 99.81 | | |
| 150% Injection 2 | 60 | 1870771 | 60.04 | 100.06 | 100.067 | 0.17 |
| 150% Injection 3 | 60 | 1872326 | 60.09 | 100.15 | | |

Table No.3: Accuracy data for Sofosbuvir

| Concentration | Amount add- ed | Peak area | Amount found | % Recoverv | Statistical Analysis of % Recovery | |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------|
| % of spiked level | (ppm) | | (ppm) | | MEAN | %RSD |
| 50% Injection 1 | 20 | 259435 | 19.98 | 99.9 | | |
| 50% Injection 2 | 20 | 258914 | 19.94 | 99.7 | 99.9 | 0.20 |
| 50% Injection 3 | 20 | 259956 | 20.02 | 100.1 | | |
| 100 % Injection 1 | 40 | 565450 | 39.86 | 99.65 | | |
| 100 % Injection 2 | 40 | 568145 | 40.05 | 100.125 | 99.9 | 0.23 |
| 100% Injection 3 | 40 | 567151 | 39.98 | 99.95 | | |
| 150% Injection 1 | 60 | 864036 | 59.90 | 99.83 | | |
| 150% Injection 2 | 60 | 865044 | 59.97 | 99.95 | 99.93 | 0.10 |
| 150% Injection 3 | 60 | 865768 | 60.02 | 100.03 | | |

Yogendrachari /J Global Trends Pharm Sci, 2016; 7(3): 3401-3407

| | Turia attion | Ledip | asvir | Sofosbuvir | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------|------------|--------|--|
| | Injection | Peak area | %Assay | Peak area | %Assay | |
| | 1 | 1239678 | 99.35 | 549407 | 99.98 | |
| Concentration 40ppm | 2 | 1243389 | 99.63 | 547265 | 99.30 | |
| | 3 | 1264984 | 99.54 | 553482 | 99.60 | |
| | 4 | 1248352 | 99.25 | 551981 | 99.84 | |
| | 5 | 1256493 | 99.48 | 551495 | 99.72 | |
| | Mean | 1250579 | 99.4 | 550726 | 99.71 | |
| Statistical Analysis | SD | 10222.12 | 0.3546 | 6031.135 | 0.425 | |
| | % RSD | 0.817391 | 0.143 | 0.439932 | 0.240 | |

Table No. 4: Data of Repeatability (System precision) for Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir

Table No.5: Data of Repeatability (Method Precision) for Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir

| | Injustion | Ledip | asvir | Sofosbuvir | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|----------|--|
| | Injection | Peak area | %Assay | Peak area | %Assay | |
| | 1 | 1243389 | 98.6 | 547265 | 98.55 | |
| Concentration | 2 | 1264984 | 99.02 | 553782 | 98.88 | |
| 40ppm | 3 | 1248352 | 98.12 | 551981 | 99.40 | |
| | 4 | 1256493 | 98.31 | 551495 | 99.30 | |
| | 5 | 1239664 | 98.81 | 547437 | 100.53 | |
| | 6 | 1243411 | 98.36 | 549117 | 98.28 | |
| Statistical Analysis | Mean | 1250579 | 98.48 | 550726 | 99.278 | |
| | SD | 10222.12 | 0.352647 | 2422.819 | 0.827236 | |
| | % RSD | 0.817391 | 0.35 | 0.439932 | 0.83 | |

Table No.6: Data of Intermediate precision Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir

| | Injection | Ledip | asvir | Sofosbuvir | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|------------|---------|--|
| | Injection | Peak area | %Assay | Peak area | %Assay | |
| | 1 | 1239364 | 99.78 | 547437 | 99.99 | |
| Concentration | 2 | 1243411 | 99.95 | 549117 | 99.66 | |
| 40ppm | 3 | 1237979 | 100.00 | 546517 | 101.53 | |
| | 4 | 1246482 | 98.55 | 550490 | 99.98 | |
| | 5 | 1241537 | 99.91 | 547427 | 99.97 | |
| | 6 | 1237979 | 99.38 | 549117 | 101.10 | |
| Statistical Analysis | Mean | 1241755 | 99.86 | 548197.6 | 100.37 | |
| | SD | 3358.178 | 1.105 | 1588.8 | 0.75354 | |
| | % RSD | 0.2704 | 0.85 | 0.270438 | 0.75 | |

Table No.7: Data of linearity (Ledipasvir)

| Concentration (ppm) | Average Area | Statistical Analysi | is |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------|
| 0 | 0 | | |
| 20 | 572087 | | |
| 30 | 887800 | | 22226 |
| 40 | 1239364 | Slope | 32226 |
| 50 | 1570861 | Correlation Coefficient | 0 999 |
| 60 | 1869524 | Contention Coefficient | 0.777 |
| 70 | 2234112 | | |
| 80 | 2546863 | | |

| Table 10.8. Data of infeatity (Solosbuvil) | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Concentration (ppm) | Average Area | a Statistical Analysis | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 20 | 259695 | | | | | | | |
| 30 | 418090 | | 1 4500 | | | | | |
| 40 | 567437 | Slope | 14792 | | | | | |
| 50 | 715694 | y-Intercept Correlation Coefficient | 19692 | | | | | |
| 60 | 865479 | Correlation Coefficient | 0.777 | | | | | |
| 70 | 1022457 | | | | | | | |
| 80 | 1170855 | | | | | | | |

Table No.8: Data of linearity (Sofosbuvir)

Table No.9: Data of system to system variability

| S. no. | Ledipa | asvir | Sofosbuvir | | |
|--------|-----------|---------|------------|----------|--|
| | Peak area | Assay % | Peak area | Assay % | |
| 1 | 1243389 | 99.98 | 547265 | 99.35 | |
| 2 | 1264984 | 99.30 | 553482 | 99.63 | |
| 3 | 1248352 | 99.60 | 551981 | 99.54 | |
| 4 | 1256493 | 99.84 | 551495 | 99.25 | |
| 5 | 1239664 | 99.72 | 547437 | 99.48 | |
| 6 | 1243411 | 98.89 | 549117 | 99.56 | |
| Mean | 1249382 | 99.71 | 550129.5 | 99.46 | |
| %RSD | 0.768595 | 0240244 | 0.4670 | 0.143228 | |

Table No.10: Data for Effect of variation in flow rate (Ledipasvir)

| | Std Area | Tailing factor | | Std Area | Tailing factor | | Std Area | Tailing fac- tor |
|----------|----------|-------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|----------|----------|---------------------|
| | 1239361 | 1.133372 | F1 | 1239678 | 1.146235 | Flow | 1243389 | 1.129133 |
| F10W U.8 | 1243411 | 1.164125 | 1.0 ml | 1243389 | 1.129133 | 1.2 | 1264984 | 1.159150 |
| 1111 | 1237979 | 1.123397 | | 1264984 | 1.159150 | ml | 1248352 | 1.141469 |
| | 1246482 | 1.125612 | | 1248352 | 1.141469 | | 1256493 | 1.130372 |
| | 1241537 | 1.123857 | | 1248352 | 1.130372 | | 1239664 | 1.133372 |
| Avg | 1241755 | 1.134073 | Avg | 1250579 | 1.141272 | Avg | 1249382 | 10776444 |
| SD | 3358.178 | 0.017274 | SD | 10222.12 | 0.001235 4 | SD | 9602.688 | 0.00520793 7 |
| %RSD | 0.270438 | 1.523171 | %RSD | 0.817391 | 1.14272 | %RS D | 0.768595 | 0.4832705 |

Table No.11: Data for Effect of variation in flow rate (Sofosbuvir)

| | Std Area Tailing factor | 171 | Std Area | Tailing factor | | Std Area | Tailing fac- tor | |
|----------------|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------|----------|----------|---------------------|-----------|
| F 1 | 547437 | 1.086917 | F 10 | 549407 | 1.082014 | Flore | 547265 | 1.075439 |
| Flow 0.8 ml | 549117 | 1.074793 | W 1.0 | 547265 | 1.075439 | 1.2 ml | 553482 | 1.074589 |
| 0.8 III | 546517 | 1.075516 | 1.0 ml | 553482 | 1.074589 | | 551981 | 1.075276 |
| | 550490 | 1.076837 | 1111 | 551981 | 1.075276 | | 551495 | 1.076001 |
| | 547427 | 1.077863 | | 551495 | 1.075276 | | 547437 | 1.086917 |
| Avg | 548197.6 | 1.078385 | Avg | 550726 | 1.076664 | Avg | 550129.5 | 1.0776444 |
| SD | 1588.8 | 0.004914 | SD | 2422.819 | 0.003033 | SD | 2569.51246 | 0.012401 |
| %RSD | 0.289823 | 0.4557 | %R SD | 0.439932 | 0.281698 | %RSD | 0.46707411 | 1.089051 |

Intermediate precession:

The % Relative standard deviations of Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir for Intermediate precession was found to be 0.85 and 0.75.Hence the %RSD values indicate a good degree of precision within the specified range. The results are tabulated in Table No 6.

System Precision Ruggedness

The standard and sample solutions prepared by analyst-1 and analyst-2 are injected in different HPLC systems, on different day, using a different column. The system suitability parameters calculated by analyst -2 can be compared with those of Analyst -1. The results were tabulated in Table 9. These results indicated that the developed method is rugged.

Linearity

The linearity range of Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir was evaluated by varying concentrations of standard solutions were injected into HPLC system. The linearity graph was plotted from (Fig: 4-5). A calibration curve was constructed for each sample by plotting the peak area obtained the concentration. The correlation coefficient for the data was calculated as 0.999. The regression line were observed to be in the form of y = 32226x - 44792. The linearity data for Ledipasvir.The regression line were observed to be in the form of y = 14792x - 19692and Sofosbuvir are presented in Table 7-8.

Robustness

Small changes in flow rate, composition of mobile phase and temperature, performed the robustness of method. Robustness was studied using three replicates of concentration level at 100%. The % RSD in robustness study was less than 2%, his indicates that the method is precise, accurate and robust, the results are tabulated in 10-11.

CONCLUSION

The present proposed RP-HPLC method for the assay of Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir in tablet formulation was validated as per ICH Q2(R1) guideline and it meets to specific acceptance criteria. It is concluded that the developed method was specific, precise, linear, accurate, robust, cost effective and it proves all validation characteristics and it can be effectively applied for routine analysis in research institutions, quality control department in industries.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank Sri. C. Gangi Reddy, Founder, Annamacharya Educational Trust for providing all the facilities for graduate studies and dissertation work. They also thank Reputed pharmaceutical company (P) Ltd. (India), for providing the gift sample of standard Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir.

REFERENCES

- Fundamentals of Analytical Chemistry, 8th Edn., Skog, West, Holler, Crouch, BBN Printers, Haryana, India. 2007
- Quantitative Analysis, RA. Day, AL. Underwood, 6th Edn., Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi, 2005.
- 3. Pharmaceutical Drug Analysis, 2nd Edn., New Age International (P) Ltd, New Delhi, India, 2005.
- 4. Furmiss BS, Nash RA. Vogel's Text Book of Practical Organic Chemistry, 5th edition. London: Lonman group LTD, 1989, pp. 165-169.
- 5. Remington, the Science & Practice of Pharmacy Vol. I, 20th Edition. B.I. publication pvt. Ltd, pp. 587-613.
- 6. ICH Guideline on Impurities in New Drug Products; Q3B (R2), 2006.
- ICH Guideline on Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology; Q2 (R1), 2005.
- 8. Collier, Development and application of a validated HPLC method for the analysis of dissolution samples of levothyroxine sodium drug products. *JPBA*, 54, 2011, 433-438.
- 9. Thompson M, Harmonized guidelines for single-laboratory validation of methods of analysis - IUPAC technical report. *PAC*, 74, 2002, 835-855.
- 10. Jenke DR. Chromatographic method validation: A review of current practices and procedures. Part II. Guidelines for primary validation parameters. *Instrum. Sci. Technol* 26, 1998, 1-18.