



## ALTERNATIVE METHODS TO CONTROL *BOTRYTIS* INFECTION TO PLANT CROPS

Nawal Ali<sup>1</sup>, Omar Hammoudi<sup>2</sup>, Eid Habib<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, Faculty of Sciences, Tishreen University, Lattakia, Syria.

<sup>2</sup>Doctor at Center of Agricultural Researches, Lattakia, Syria.

<sup>3</sup>PhD Student, Department of Botany, Faculty of Sciences, Tishreen University, Lattakia, Syria.

\*Corresponding author E-mail: amjaddeeb7@gmail.com

### ARTICLE INFO

### ABSTRACT

#### Key words:

*Botrytis* pathogens,  
fungicides,  
antifungal activity,  
Antimicrobial

Access this article  
onlineWebsite:

<https://www.jgtps.com>

Quick Response Code:



*Botrytis* spp. are efficient pathogens, causing devastating diseases and significant crop losses in a wide variety of plant species. Moreover, changes in populations in response to selection by exposure to xenobiotics, especially fungicides, are quite common in the genus and fungicide resistance has been recorded in *Botrytis* populations throughout the history of the modern fungicide era. The application of fungicides has for years been an efficient way to control this disease. However, fungicides have negative environmental consequences that have changed popular opinion and clarified the need for more sustainable solutions. Biopesticides are products formulated based on microorganisms (bacteria or fungi) with antifungal activity through various mechanisms. The present review provides an overview of the recent primary physical, chemical, and biological control treatments adopted against the development of *Botrytis* in table grapes to extend shelf life. These treatments preserve product quality and safety.

### 1. Introduction

The genus *Botrytis* is highly diverse, with numerous species identified that differ in terms of their biology, ecology, morphological features and host range. Species of *Botrytis* are responsible for heavy losses in a number of economically important horticultural and floral crops (Elad *et al.*, 2004) The plant hosts of *Botrytis* spp. include species of 170 families of cultivated plants (Walker *et al.*, 2011 ; Plesken *et al.*, 2015 ). The genus *Botrytis* contains around 35 species some have a very broad host range such as *B.cinerea* and *B.pseudocinerea* which cause gray mold disease for many important plants such as tomato, grape, apple , strawberry and among others (Elad *et al.*, 2016). The gray mold disease characterized morphologically

by a fine white or gray mycelium that occur over the infected necrotic or decayed area of the plant host (Spadaro *et al.*, 2020). *Botrytis* spp. can develop on almost all plant tissues, at every developmental stage Early in the season, the presence of inoculum sources at stem emergence enables early blight infections to develop almost immediately. Infected young shoots only a few inches tall suddenly wilt and fall over due to lesions which can fully girdle the stem (Garfinkel and Chastagner 2016). *Botrytis* is considered anamorphic fungi, reproducing almost exclusively via asexual reproduction. A sexual stage of the fungal life cycle is known to exist, however this is rarely observed. The fungus favours prolonged leaf wetness (Ciliberti, Fermaud *et al.* 2015), and warm

conditions, around 15-20°C, although it will infect at temperatures as low as 5°C (Bulger, Ellis et al. 1987). Hyphae, housed within sclerotia, present on the surface of overwintered decayed peony foliage, germinate under wet conditions in the spring. Within hours germ tubes emerge leading to the development of appressorium, specialized flattened hyphae. These contain penetration pegs which secrete enzymes, including cutinases and lipases (Schäfer 1998), as well as hydrogen peroxide to breach the cuticle and penetrate the host. Once breached, the penetration peg grows into the epidermal cells triggering an oxidative burst and cell death (Boddy 2016). These dead cells provide resources for fungal growth, where it will continue to survive as a saprotroph (or necrotroph in the case of plant death). Conidiophores, containing masses of conidia, asexual non-motile spores (the primary inoculum source for botrytis infections) are produced. Once mature, these are dispersed locally by water splash, or by plant movement, whilst further spread is facilitated by wind, insects or by crop workers and equipment/machinery. Secondary infections occur when conidia gain entry into plants via natural openings, or wounds. Once attached to the host, conidia germinate developing germ tubes for invasion of the host restarting the cycle which may repeat several times per year. Sclerotia may also form, often as a consequence of low nutrient availability or environmental conditions. These comprise a compact mass of melanised mycelium which enable long-term survival and overwintering of the fungus. In milder locations, conidia may develop on over-wintered mycelium, skipping the requirement for the sclerotial stage of the life cycle entirely (Garfinkel and Chastagner 2016). This can increase the inoculum level present during stem emergence leading to increase shoot collapse. In the future a warming climate may mean that conidia arising from overwintered mycelium may become the primary source of early inoculum. In addition to spread by conidia, infected petals may also act as a source of infection. Petal fall, and subsequent adhesion onto healthy leaves (often as a consequence of rainfall) enables close contact

of mycelium from infected petals to directly infect healthy tissue (Daughtrey, Wick et al. 2000).

## **2. Control of *Botrytis* infection:**

Although chemical control of *Botrytis* still largely relies on the use of chemical pesticides, suppression of diseases can significantly benefit from cultural management of crops mainly by restricting the humidity around the plant and by limiting plant surface wetness. Plant and microbial extracts, organic compounds, and biocontrol agents have become available in some countries for *Botrytis* suppression either for use at pre- or postharvest.

### **2.1. Physical Methods to Control infection**

Physical technologies mainly include modification of several parameters such as temperature, absolute and relative gas pressure, UV irradiation, and sonication. Table grapes for fresh consumption often need a long period of storage for commercial purposes such as export and ready-to-eat. They are usually stored in chambers with strictly controlled temperature and humidity. To this aim, cold storage (~0 °C) is the primary method to avoid post-harvest infections without affecting the main physicochemical features of the product (Youssef et al., 2015). However, *Botrytis* survives at low temperatures, and any variation of temperature can promote water condensation, thus favouring fungal growth and sporulation (Crisosto et al., 2002). In general, physical methods are often considered eco-friendly and residue-free emerging technologies, widely accepted by consumers. Although these methods have been extensively investigated in different fruit and vegetable products, only a few studies report their employment for the reduction of grey mould in table grapes. Ultraviolet irradiation (UV) (wavelengths between 10 to 400 nanometers (nm)) and sonication by ultrasound are non-thermal treatments considered simple, reliable, and eco-friendly emerging technologies for lengthening the shelf life of fresh fruits during storage. Ultraviolet irradiation C (UV-C, 10–280 nm) treatment induced a general stimulation of the phenylpropanoid pathway, associated with plant defence mechanisms, leading to an

increased resistance to the diseases in artificially inoculated berries (Freitas et al., 2015). UV-C irradiation is effective, with dosages between 0.125 to 0.5 kJ/m<sup>2</sup> at a fixed distance of 25 cm (Nigro et al., 1998).

## 2.2. Chemical Methods to Control infection:

At present, sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) remains the main method that is used to control the microbial spoilage of post-harvest fruit commodities. The employment of SO<sub>2</sub> provides long term storage due to its antioxidant, antibacterial, antifungal and anti-browning properties (Youssef et al., 2015; Carter et al., 2015). However, excessive residue levels of SO<sub>2</sub> in berry peels can result in quality deterioration, such as bleached berries, production of off-flavour, or hairline disorder (Gao et al., 2002; Zoffoli et al., 2008). Significant health risks to consumers are also reported due to the emergence of allergies, nausea, respiratory distress and skin rashes (Lou et al., 2017). For this reason, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) categorized SO<sub>2</sub> as a pesticide, with maximum tolerance in final products of 10 ppm, and, more generally, sulphur dioxide residuals on table grapes are internationally regulated, including in the European Union (European Commission, 2008). Its use is also excluded from certified “organic” grapes. Therefore, several chemical alternatives have been proposed to replace SO<sub>2</sub> in the restraint of Botrytis in table grapes. The use of conventional synthetic fungicides is generating increasing concern among consumers due to the potential negative effects on human health (Muri et al., 2009), soil microbiota (Meena et al., 2020), and on microorganisms beneficial for food and beverage fermentations (Russo et al., 2019). Even if the use of conventional synthetic fungicides is forbidden for organic grapes (Council regulation, 2007) application is widespread to prevent spoilage mould formation in conventional agriculture (El Ghaouth et al., 2004). Despite the fact that some studies have focused on the positive action of different combinations of synthetic fungicides or bioactive compounds (Xu et al., 2018), the occurrence of resistant strains of Botrytis has been reported (Latorre et al.,

2002). The most recently introduced class of synthetic fungicides belongs to the Succinate Dehydrogenase Inhibitors (SDHIs) (Avenot and Michailides, 2010). In 2012, a novel SDHI, named fluopyram, was registered against Botrytis and it was able to control grey mould infections in table grapes, with efficacy of inhibition in the range 80.1–94.4% (Vitale et al., 2016). However, high risks of rapid occurrence of resistance without appropriate management has already been underlined in other crops (Amiri et al., 2014). For this reason, alternative control methods are needed. Among these, resistance induced by elicitors, molecules able to activate defence gene expression and enhance their antimicrobial-related pathways (Ge et al., 2012), is an attractive alternative because it is associated with minor environmental risk.

## 2.3. Biological Methods to Control infection

### 2.3.1. Microbial Resources

Several yeast species are found in association with the surface of the grapes, in particular, the genera *Saccharomyces*, *Candida*, *Dekkera*, *Pichia*, *Hanseniaspora*, *Metschnikowia*, *Kluyveromyces*, *Saccharomycodes*, *Schizosaccharomyces*, *Torulaspora*, and *Zygosaccharomyces* (Berbegal et al., 2017; Garofalo et al., 2016). Highly variable in terms of relative proportion, often as a function of the sanitary condition of the grapes, these species have different significances in oenology, i.e., protechnological, spoilage, biocontrol, production of toxic catabolites (Benito et al., 2019; Russo et al., 2016). On the other hand, it is possible to find prokaryotic organisms present on the grape surface that exert their biotechnological action in the last phases of the winemaking process (Berbegal et al., 2019). This broad microbial diversity justifies massive isolation of yeasts and bacteria to preserve and characterize strains of biotechnological interest (Zhang et al., 2019; Gómez et al., 2019). This isolation can be of microorganisms from plants, grape bunches, musts or wines and selection is made of those capable of inhibiting undesired microbe development on grapevines (Ab Rahman et al., 2018; Bleve et al., 2006) up to the final steps of wine production (Berbegal et al., 2017). This reservoir of microbial-based

biocontrol solutions has also been exploited in fruits (Nally et al., 2015) in several cases offering the option to inhibit Botrytis in table grapes. Among yeast species, strains belonging to *Saccharomyces* are the most commonly studied because of their pivotal function in alcoholic fermentation and their role as a biological model organism (Walker and Stewart, 2016; Feyder et al., 2015). Recently, Nally et al. 2012 used a fruit decay test on wounded table grape berries to screen the activity of 65 yeasts, previously tested against Botrytis by using in vitro approaches. They found that 15 *S. cerevisiae* strains and one strain of *Sch. pombe*, isolated from grape must, were able to reduce grey mould decay. Among these, the disease incidence of grapes treated with *Sch. pombe* BSchp67 reached 29.9%, while 9 strains of *S. cerevisiae* were able to fully inhibit decay development when added at a concentration of 107 cells/mL. Regarding the non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts, *H. uvarum* is a species of enological interest, usually present on the grape surface (Tristezza et al., 2016; Capozzi et al., 2019). In various studies, it has demonstrated an antagonistic property, mainly based on competition for living space (Liu et al., 2010). The addition of this yeast has been implicated in the reduced incidence of grey mould disease in artificially inoculated table grapes (Qin et al., 2015). Moreover, this antagonistic activity was enhanced by the addition in the formulation of salicylic acid or salts, such as sodium bicarbonate or ammonium molybdate (Cordero et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2010). *Starmerella bacillaris* (synonym *Candida zemplinina*) is another species of interest, commonly isolated from grapevines/musts (Russo et al., 2020; Russo et al., 2020).

### 2.3.2. Antimicrobial Compounds of Biological Origin:

Among the vegetal compounds, volatiles generated from cellulose soaked with garlic hydro-alcoholic extract and its derived sulfur compounds have shown anti-grey mould activity in packaged table grapes both at 4 and 25 °C, during the 14 days of experimental trials (Campa et al., 2017). Cinnamic acid, extracted from cinnamon bark, is widely used as a food additive. Dipping the berries in a

solution of 10 mM cinnamic acid can significantly decrease the incidence of decay development up to half of that in control after four days of storage at 25 °C (Zhang et al., 2015). Hinokitiol is a natural monoterpeneoid mainly extracted from the wood of Cupressaceae. In a recent study, no decay was visible after 60 h at 22 °C in artificially wounded/inoculated table grape berries treated with a 3 g/L hinokitiol solution (Wang et al., 2020). Essential oils (EOs) from many plants, such as thymus and lemongrass, have revealed great potential in post-harvest disease control (Sivakumar and Bautista, 2014). In addition, the effect of mint EOs was recently investigated by using direct contact (e.g., dipping) and volatile methods (filter paper) (Xueuan et al., 2018). In this study, EO released by the paper was more effective than the direct contact and was capable of inhibiting Botrytis in artificially inoculated trials during nine days of shelf-life. However, the effect on product flavour and consumer acceptance was not investigated. Another research field involves the use of vegetal hormones, plant activators, and inner signaling molecules. These molecules act through a complex signalling network under the control of salicylic acid, ethylene, jasmonic acid, and phenylpropanoid pathways, which leads to the increase of specific secondary metabolites (e.g., flavonoids, soluble sugars, and phytoalexins). Methyl jasmonate is a volatile compound that mediates stress responses in plants and has shown to promote fungal resistance in various fruit crops. Recently, it was found to be effective in lessening the development of Botrytis in artificially infected table grapes (Jiang et al., 2015). In this study, the fruits were packed in the presence of a filter paper soaked with a solution of methyl jasmonate at 10 mol/L and stored at 25 °C. The disease incidence in the treated fruits after 24, 36, and 48 h was 41.7%, 60.6%, and 86.5% of that in the control trial, respectively. Among secondary metabolites, phytoalexins are synthesized by the plants as broad-spectrum inhibitors. Stilbenoids, including pterostilbene and piceatannol, are phytoalexins commonly found in vine leaves and wine (Xu et al., 2018). “Mare’s milk” table grapes treated

with 50 mg/L pterostilbene did not show any sign of infection while piceatannol at the same concentration reduced grey mould disease by 75% after nine days storage at 22 °C. These molecules seemed to be the most effective in a group of seven phenolic compounds, including resveratrol and coumarin. Edible coatings made with natural polymers like chitosan or alginate can act as a cover material able to wrap the berry. Thus, these formulations can extend the shelf-life of fruit crops and maintain quality reducing water losses (Zhou et al., 2008; Azarakhsh et al., 2014). Chitosan is a linear polysaccharide composed of D-glucosamine and N-acetyl-D-glucosamine linked by a  $\beta$ -(1-4) bond obtained by treating the exoskeleton of arthropods with alkaline solutions. Recently, it was found that chitosan-silica nanocomposite polymers can reduce the incidence of decay in grape berries by 59% (Youssef et al., 2019). Alginate is another biocompatible and biodegradable polymer extracted from brown algae and used as a food additive with the code E401. It was demonstrated that the incorporation of vanillin, a phenolic compound, in a coating formulation prolongs the shelf life of table grapes until 35 days of storage, by reducing total yeasts and mould counts (Takma et al., 2017). However, the retention of soluble solids, titratable acidity, firmness, and color was also enhanced.

#### Conclusion:

More research needs to be developed to identify the bioactive components in biological extracts which may add to crops to excess their resistant against *Botrytis* infection

#### References:

1. -Ciliberti, N., et al. (2015). "Environmental Conditions Affect *Botrytis cinerea* Infection of Mature Grape Berries More Than the Strain or Transposon Genotype." *Phytopathology* 105(8): 1090-1096.
2. -Bulger, M., et al. (1987). "Influence of Temperature and Wetness Duration on Infection of Strawberry Flowers by *Botrytis cinerea* and Disease Incidence of Fruit Originating from Infected Flowers." *Ecology and Epidemiology* 77(8): 1225-1230.
3. -Boddy, L. (2016). Chapter 8 - Pathogens of Autotrophs. *The Fungi* (Third Edition). S. C. Watkinson, L. -Boddy and N. P. Money. Boston, Academic Press: 245-292.
4. -Schäfer, W. (1998). The Involvement of Fungal Cutinase in Early Processes of Plant Infection. *Molecular Genetics of Host-Specific Toxins in Plant Disease: Proceedings of the 3rd Tottori International Symposium on Host-Specific Toxins*, Daisen, Tottori, Japan, August 24–29, 1997., Springer Netherlands: 273-280.
5. -Garfinkel, A. R. and G. A. Chastagner (2016). Diseases of Peonies. *Handbook of Florists' Crops Diseases*. R. J. McGovern and W. H. Elmer. Cham, Springer International Publishing: 1-31.
6. -Daughtrey, M. L., et al. (2000). "Botrytis Blight of Flowering Potted Plants." *Plant Health Progress* 1(1): 11.
7. -Walker, A.-S., et al. (2011). "*Botrytis pseudocinerea*, a New Cryptic Species Causing Gray Mold in French Vineyards in Sympatry with *Botrytis cinerea*." *Phytopathology*® 101(12): 1433-1445.
8. -Elad, Y. (2016). Botrytis, the good, the bad and the ugly. Botrytis – the Fungus, the Pathogen and its Management in Agricultural Systems, Springer. 1: 1-15.
9. -Garfinkel, A. R. and G. A. Chastagner (2016). Diseases of Peonies. *Handbook of Florists' Crops Diseases*. R. J. McGovern and W. H. Elmer. Cham, Springer International Publishing: 1-31.
10. -Elad, Y.; Stewart, A. Microbial control of Botrytis spp. In *Botrytis: Biology, Pathology and Control*; Elad,

- Y., Williamson, B., Tudzynski, P., Delen, N., Eds.; Kluwer Academic: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2004; pp. 223–241.
11. Plesken, C., Weber, R.W., Rupp, S., Leroch, M., & Hahn, M. (2015). *Botrytis pseudocinerea* is a significant pathogen of several crop plants but susceptible to displacement by fungicide-resistant *B. cinerea* strains. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, AEM-01719. DOI: 10.1128/AEM.01719-15.
  12. Spadaro, D., Torres, R., and Mari, M. 2020. Pome Fruits. P 55-112.
  13. Youssef, K.; Roberto, S.R.; Chiarotti, F.; Koyama, R.; Hussain, I.; de Souza, R.T. Control of Botrytis mold of the new seedless grape 'BRS Vitoria' during cold storage. *Sci. Hortic.* 2015, 193, 316–321.
  14. Crisosto, C.H.; Mitchell, F.G. Postharvest Handling Systems: Table grapes. In *Postharvest Technology of Horticultural Crops*; Kader, A.A., Ed.; University of California Agricultural and Natural Resources Pub: Davis, CA, USA, 2002; pp. 357–363.
  15. Freitas, P.M.; López-Gálvez, F.; Tudela, J.A.; Gil, M.I.; Allende, A. Postharvest treatment of table grapes with ultraviolet-C and chitosan coating preserves quality and increases stilbene content. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2015, 105, 51–57.
  16. Nigro, F.; Ippolito, A.; Lima, G. Use of UV-C light to reduce Botrytis storage rot of table grapes. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 1998, 13, 171–181.
  17. Carter, M.Q.; Chapman, M.H.; Gabler, F.; Brandl, M.T. Effect of sulfur dioxide fumigation on survival of foodborne pathogens on table grapes under standard storage temperature. *Food Microbiol.* 2015, 49, 189–196.
  18. Gao, H.; Hu, X.; Zhang, H.; Wang, S.; Liu, L. Study on sensitivity of table grapes to SO<sub>2</sub>. In *Proceedings of the XXVI International Horticultural Congress: Issues and Advances in Postharvest Horticulture*, Toronto, ON, Canada, 11–17 August 2002; pp. 541–548.
  19. Zoffoli, J.P.; Latorre, B.A.; Naranjo, P. Hairline, a postharvest cracking disorder in table grapes induced by sulfur dioxide. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2008, 47, 90–97.
  20. Lou, T.; Huang, W.; Wu, X.; Wang, M.; Zhou, L.; Lu, B.; Zheng, L.; Hu, Y. Monitoring, exposure and risk assessment of sulfur dioxide residues in fresh or dried fruits and vegetables in China. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A* 2017, 34, 918–927.
  21. European Commission. Regulation (EC) No 1333/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on food additives. *O. J. Eur. Community* 2008, 50, 18.
  22. Muri, S.D.; van der Voet, H.; Boon, P.E.; van Klaveren, J.D.; Brüsweiler, B.J. Comparison of human health risks resulting from exposure to fungicides and mycotoxins via food. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2009, 47, 2963–2974.
  23. Meena, R.S.; Kumar, S.; Datta, R.; Lal, R.; Vijayakumar, V.; Brtnicky, M.; Sharma, M.P.; Yadav, G.S.; Jhariya, M.K.; Jangir, C.K.; et al. Impact of Agrochemicals on Soil Microbiota and Management: A Review. *Land* 2020, 9, 34.
  24. Russo, P.; Berbegal, C.; De Ceglie, C.; Grieco, F.; Spano, G.; Capozzi, V. Pesticide Residues and Stuck Fermentation in Wine: New Evidences Indicate the Urgent Need

- of Tailored Regulations. Fermentation 2019,
25. Council regulation (EC) No 834/2007 of 28 June 2007 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing regulation (EEC) No 2092/1. O. J. Eur. Union 2007, 1–23.
  26. El Ghaouth, A.; Wilson, C.; Wisniewski, M. Biologically-Based Alternatives to Synthetic Fungicides for the Control of Postharvest diseases of Fruit and Vegetables. In Diseases of Fruits and Vegetables:
  27. Volume II: Diagnosis and Management; Naqvi, S.A.M.H., Ed.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2004; pp. 511–535, ISBN 978-1-4020-2607-2.
  28. Xu, D.; Deng, Y.; Han, T.; Jiang, L.; Xi, P.; Wang, Q.; Jiang, Z.; Gao, L. In vitro and in vivo effectiveness of phenolic compounds for the control of postharvest gray mold of table grapes. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 2018, 139, 106–114.
  29. Latorre, B.A.; Spadaro, I.; Rioja, M.E. Occurrence of resistant strains of Botrytis cinerea to anilinopyrimidine fungicides in table grapes in Chile. Crop Prot. 2002, 21, 957–961.
  30. Avenot, H.F.; Michailides, T.J. Progress in understanding molecular mechanisms and evolution of resistance to succinate dehydrogenase inhibiting (SDHI) fungicides in phytopathogenic fungi. Crop Prot. 2010, 29, 643–651.
  31. Vitale, A.; Panebianco, A.; Polizzi, G. Baseline sensitivity and efficacy of fluopyram against Botrytis cinerea from table grape in Italy. Ann. Appl. Biol. 2016, 169, 36–45.
  32. Amiri, A.; Heath, S.M.; Peres, N.A. Resistance to fluopyram, fluxapyroxad, and penthiopyrad in Botrytis cinerea from strawberry. Plant Dis. 2014, 98, 532–539.
  33. Ge, Y.-H.; Bi, Y.; Li, Y.-C.; Wang, Y. Resistance of harvested fruits and vegetables to diseases induced by ASM and its mechanism. Sci. Agric. Sin. 2012, 45, 3357–3362.
  34. Berbegal, C.; Spano, G.; Tristezza, M.; Grieco, F.; Capozzi, V. Microbial Resources and Innovation in the Wine Production Sector. S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic. 2017, 38, 156–166. [CrossRef]
  35. Garofalo, C.; Russo, P.; Beneduce, L.; Massa, S.; Spano, G.; Capozzi, V. Non-Saccharomyces biodiversity in wine and the ‘microbial terroir’: A survey on Nero di Troia wine from the Apulian region, Italy. Ann. Microbiol. 2016, 66, 143–150. [CrossRef]
  36. Benito, Á.; Calderón, F.; Benito, S. The Influence of Non-Saccharomyces Species on Wine Fermentation Quality Parameters. Fermentation 2019, 5, 54. [CrossRef]
  37. Russo, P.; Capozzi, V.; Spano, G.; Corbo, M.R.; Sinigaglia, M.; Bevilacqua, A. Metabolites of Microbial Origin with an Impact on Health: Ochratoxin A and Biogenic Amines. Front. Microbiol. 2016, 7, 482. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
  38. Berbegal, C.; Borruso, L.; Fragasso, M.; Tufariello, M.; Russo, P.; Brusetti, L.; Spano, G.; Capozzi, V. A Metagenomic-Based Approach for the Characterization of Bacterial Diversity Associated with Spontaneous Malolactic Fermentations in Wine. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2019, 20, 3980. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
  39. Zhang, S.; Chen, X.; Zhong, Q.; Zhuang, X.; Bai, Z. Microbial

- Community Analyses Associated with Nine Varieties of Wine Grape Carposphere Based on High-Throughput Sequencing. *Microorganisms* 2019, 7, 668. [CrossRef] [PubMed].
40. Gómez Brandón, M.; Aira, M.; Kolbe, A.R.; de Andrade, N.; Pérez-Losada, M.; Domínguez, J. Rapid Bacterial Community Changes during Vermicomposting of Grape Marc Derived from Red Winemaking. *Microorganisms* 2019, 7, 473.
41. Ab Rahman, S.F.; Singh, E.; Pieterse, C.M.J.; Schenk, P.M. Emerging microbial biocontrol strategies for plant pathogens. *Plant Sci. Int. J. Exp. Plant Biol.* 2018, 267, 102–111. [CrossRef]
42. Bleve, G.; Grieco, F.; Cozzi, G.; Logrieco, A.; Visconti, A. Isolation of epiphytic yeasts with potential for biocontrol of *Aspergillus carbonarius* and *A. niger* on grape. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2006, 108, 204–209. [CrossRef]
43. Berbegal, C.; Garofalo, C.; Russo, P.; Pati, S.; Capozzi, V.; Spano, G. Use of Autochthonous Yeasts and Bacteria in Order to Control *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* in Wine. *Fermentation* 2017, 3, 65. [CrossRef]
44. Nally, M.C.; Pesce, V.M.; Maturano, Y.P.; Assaf, L.R.; Toro, M.E.; de Figueroa, L.C.; Vazquez, F. Antifungal modes of action of *Saccharomyces* and other biocontrol yeasts against fungi isolated from sour and grey rots. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2015, 204, 91–100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
45. Walker, G.M.; Stewart, G.G. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in the Production of Fermented Beverages. *Beverages* 2016, 2, 30.
46. Feyder, S.; De Craene, J.-O.; Bär, S.; Bertazzi, D.L.; Friant, S. Membrane Tracking in the Yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Model. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2015, 16, 1509–1525.
47. Nally, M.C.; Pesce, V.M.; Maturano, Y.P.; Muñoz, C.J.; Combina, M.; Toro, M.E.; de Figueroa, L.I.C.; Vazquez, F. Biocontrol of *Botrytis cinerea* in table grapes by non-pathogenic indigenous *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeasts isolated from viticultural environments in Argentina. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2012, 64, 40–48.
48. Tristezza, M.; Tufariello, M.; Capozzi, V.; Spano, G.; Mita, G.; Grieco, F. The Oenological Potential of *Hanseniaspora uvarum* in Simultaneous and Sequential Co-fermentation with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for Industrial Wine Production. *Front. Microbiol.* 2016, 7. [CrossRef]
49. Capozzi, V.; Berbegal, C.; Tufariello, M.; Grieco, F.; Spano, G. Impact of co-inoculation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Hanseniaspora uvarum* and *Oenococcus oeni* autochthonous strains in controlled multi starter grape must fermentations. *LWT* 2019, 109, 241–249. [CrossRef]
50. Liu, H.M.; Guo, J.H.; Cheng, Y.J.; Luo, L.; Liu, P.; Wang, B.Q.; Deng, B.X.; Long, C.A. Control of gray mold of grape by *Hanseniaspora uvarum* and its effects on postharvest quality parameters. *Ann. Microbiol.* 2010, 60, 31–35. [CrossRef]
51. Liu, H.M.; Guo, J.H.; Luo, L.; Liu, P.; Wang, B.Q.; Cheng, Y.J.; Deng, B.X.; Long, C.A. Improvement of *Hanseniaspora uvarum* biocontrol activity against gray mold by the addition of ammonium molybdate and



- the possible mechanisms involved. *Crop Prot.* 2010, 29, 277–282. [CrossRef]
52. Russo, P.; Englezos, V.; Capozzi, V.; Pollon, M.; Río Segade, S.; Rantsiou, K.; Spano, G.; Cocolin, L. Effect of mixed fermentations with *Starmerella bacillaris* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on management of malolactic fermentation. *Food Res. Int.* 2020, 134, 109246. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
53. Russo, P.; Tufariello, M.; Renna, R.; Tristezza, M.; Taurino, M.; Palombi, L.; Capozzi, V.; Rizzello, C.G.; Grieco, F.
54. New Insights into the Oenological Significance of *Candida zemplinina*: Impact of Selected Autochthonous Strains on the Volatile Profile of Apulian Wines. *Microorganisms* 2020, 8, 628.
55. Cordero-Bueso, G.; Mangieri, N.; Maghradze, D.; Foschino, R.; Valdetara, F.; Cantoral, J.M.; Vigentini, I. Wild Grape-Associated Yeasts as Promising Biocontrol Agents against *Vitis vinifera* Fungal Pathogens. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, 8.
56. Qin, X.; Xiao, H.; Xue, C.; Yu, Z.; Yang, R.; Cai, Z.; Si, L. Biocontrol of gray mold in grapes with the yeast *Hanseniaspora uvarum* alone and in combination with salicylic acid or sodium bicarbonate. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2015, 100, 160–167.
57. Campa-Siqueiros, P.; Vallejo-Cohen, S.; Corrales-Maldonado, C.; Martínez-Téllez, M.Á.; Vargas-Arispuro, I.; Ávila-Quezada, G. Reduction in the incidence of grey mold in table grapes due to the volatile effect of garlic extract. *Rev. Mex. Fitopatol. Mex. J. Phytopathol.* 2017, 35. [CrossRef]
58. Zhang, Z.; Qin, G.; Li, B.; Tian, S. Effect of Cinnamic Acid for Controlling Gray Mold on Table Grape and Its Possible Mechanisms of Action. *Curr. Microbiol.* 2015, 71, 396–402. [CrossRef]
59. Wang, Y.; Liu, X.; Chen, T.; Xu, Y.; Tian, S. Antifungal effects of hinokitiol on development of *Botrytis cinerea* in vitro and in vivo. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2020, 159, 111038. [CrossRef]
60. Xueuan, R.; Dandan, S.; Zhuo, L.; Qingjun, K. Effect of mint oil against *Botrytis cinerea* on table grapes and its possible mechanism of action. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 2018, 151, 321–328. [CrossRef]
61. Jiang, L.; Jin, P.; Wang, L.; Yu, X.; Wang, H.; Zheng, Y. Methyl jasmonate primes defense responses against *Botrytis cinerea* and reduces disease development in harvested table grapes. *Sci. Hortic.* 2015, 192, 218–223. [CrossRef]
62. Sivakumar, D.; Bautista-Baños, S. A review on the use of essential oils for postharvest decay control and maintenance of fruit quality during storage. *Crop Prot.* 2014, 64, 27–37.
63. Youssef, K.; de Oliveira, A.G.; Tischer, C.A.; Hussain, I.; Roberto, S.R. Synergistic effect of a novel chitosan/silica nanocomposites-based formulation against gray mold of table grapes and its possible mode of action. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2019, 141, 247–258. [CrossRef]
64. Takma, D.K.; Korel, F. Impact of preharvest and postharvest alginate treatments enriched with vanillin on postharvest decay, biochemical properties, quality and sensory attributes of table grapes. *Food Chem.* 2017, 221, 187–195. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Zhou, R.; Mo, Y.; Li, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, G.; Hu, Y. Quality and internal characteristics of Huanghua pears (*Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai, cv. Huanghua) treated with different kinds of coatings during storage. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2008, 49, 171–179. [CrossRef]
66. Azarakhsh, N.; Osman, A.; Ghazali, H.M.; Tan, C.P.; Mohd Adzahan, N. Lemongrass essential oil incorporated into alginate-based edible coating for shelf-life extension and quality retention of fresh-cut pineapple. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2014, 88, 1–7. [CrossRef]